Bosnia and Herzegovina
Joint reaction EBU, EFJ and SEEMO


Today, the European Broadcasting Union (EBU), the European Federation of Journalists (EFJ) and the South East Europe Media Organisation (SEEMO) called authorities and individual politicians at all levels in Bosnia-Herzegovina (BiH) to take immediate action to improve the current media situation in the country.

Financial and functional problems of the Bosnian-Herzegovinian public broadcast service (Radiotelevizija Bosne i Hercegovine - BHRT), announced criminalization of defamation, limited freedom of expression, as well as the alarming numbers of pressures journalists and other media workers face, should be addressed to obtain the sustainable conditions for media existence and future democratic developments in Bosnia and Herzegovina.

Financial and organisational dysfunctionality of BHRT

According to the European Broadcasting Union (EBU), finding an urgent solution for the BHRT funding and ensuring their financial sustainability is crucial for the development of public service media in BiH.

The means of collecting fees could be re-defined, but the sanctions in case of non-obedience with the rules should immediately be made more distinct. The question of debts should be solved by a political / governmental / parliamentary decision once and for all.

Secondly, urgent amendments to the Law on BHRT are needed. Establishing a corporation, which will reduce the costs
of the three public service media is the only way for the future of public broadcasting. Depoliticization of the broadcasters is an ultimate prerequisite.

Thirdly, the criteria for the election of the BHRT governing bodies’ members should be made clearer. The criteria should aim at ensuring a better quality of candidates and not only at entities’ representation. Criteria should include proven knowledge of media, a necessary period of experience in the relevant field. The number of governing bodies’ members could be increased in order to ensure a good representation of all segments of the society in the body, which could be a combination of national and civil society representatives.

Fourthly, the challenge of broadcasting for Croats in BIH should be solved and a more precise structural division within the Federal RTV established.

Fifthly, BHRT needs a concise strategy. It is already done well, and it will be delivered to governing bodies refusing discussion.

In the European Commission’s Country Report on Bosnia and Herzegovina 2022, additional concerns were raised regarding the problems BHRT is currently dealing with. Unable to pay taxes and contributions, BHRT let workers go.

Additionally, since 2017 (according to BHRT in 2023), the debt of Radio Televizija Republike Srpske (RTRS) towards BHRT (over 37.5 million EUR at the end of 2022) has been subject to lengthy litigation in entity courts.

These issues pose a serious threat to the financial independence and sustainability of the public broadcasting system. Furthermore, state and entity public broadcasters remain exposed to political influence, in particular through politically controlled steering boards. BHRT warns that “the financing and survival of BHRT as a public service at the state level is seriously threatened, and there is no political will to
solve it because the entity public services are put in charge of the leading political parties and they have no interest in solving the issue of BHRT”.

According to BHRT, even the courts have started making political instead of legal judgments, since two rulings have already been made in favour of RTRS and to the detriment of BHRT, “even though the law on the public RTV system very clearly and precisely defined mutual obligations“.

We are asking the new Council of Ministers to solve the prolonged problems of BHRT.

**Criminalisation of defamation and other laws**

Reintroducing defamation and insult as criminal offences and expanding criminal offences against the constitutional order, announced earlier by political representatives in the Republic of Srpska, could soon be implemented through the passing of law under an urgent procedure in the National Assembly of the Republic of Srpska.

According to information we have received, defamation would be criminalised, and citizens and journalists would be punished with fines of up to 100,000 BAM (about 50,000 EUR).

According to the European and International Federations of Journalists (EFJ and IFJ), the threat of new legislation is a very big problem and making defamation a crime, would be a direct hindrance to the principles of freedom of the press, by which one should be able to talk freely without fear of litigation or imprisonment. If adopted, the criminalisation of defamation would present a legal framework for punishing journalists with three to five years of imprisonment for expressing their views.

As a result, returning defamation to criminal legislation may lead to abuse of the legal framework to intimidate and silence
It would be a step backwards in an already fragile media freedom in Republika Srpska.

In addition, should this proposal be accepted, the country will face two different standards for defamation since the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina, the second entity within the State of Bosnia and Herzegovina, will use international standards and recommendations and continue treating cases of defamation under civil law.

More than 20 years ago Bosnia and Herzegovina was the first country in the region to decriminalise defamation across its entire territory and used for years as positive example for legal changes.

In February this year, representatives of civil society organisations and independent media signed a declaration in Banja Luka opposing the announcements of criminalisation of defamation in the Republic of Srpska.

We also strongly condemn returning defamation to criminal legislation.

Additional it is worried, that also if not used a criminal defamation, politicians and public officials continue to use civil suits to intimidate journalists.

Regulation data protection and dealing with hate speech are still problematic.

Bosnia and Herzegovina was one of the first countries in region to adopt the Freedom of Access to Information Act in 2000 and 2001. However, the law requires changes. Some of the problems in the practice are the inconsistent interpretation of the law by different public bodies; many cases are rejected, non-harmonization with other laws and unwillingness to apply the legal regulation are practised.
FACE TV case and situation with CRA-RAK

One of the obvious examples of pressure on media happened in recent months when a representative of the Communications Regulatory Agency – Regulatorna agencija za komunikacije (CRA-RAK) threatened to close FACE TV. As its founder Senad Hadžifejzović argues: “It is particularly frightening that such threats and pressures were based on completely untrue claims” (about what was said in their program). As there was no protection, FACE TV contacted the constitutional court for help. In February 2023, CRA fined FACE TV 15,000 BAM. This CRA decision was criticised on 7 February 2023 by the Press Council (Print and Online Media Council) in Bosnia-Herzegovina (Vijeće za štampu i online medije u Bosni i Hercegovini)

There is still a lack of full financial and political independency of the Communications Regulatory Agency. The procedure to appoint its management must be revised to improve and secure an independent body. The mandate of the Board expired at the end of 2017 and for the entirety of the 2018-2022 terms a new board was not appointed.

Limited freedom of expression

As stated in the EC´s Country report 2022, “the country made no progress in addressing Opinion key priority 12 to guarantee freedom of expression and of the media”.

Threats against journalists and intimidation of journalists

Delegation of the European Union to Bosnia and Herzegovina states that the European Commission’s latest Country Report for Bosnia and Herzegovina 2022 notes no progress regarding the protection of journalists over the previous year. “There are serious concerns about political pressure, intimidation and
threats against journalists. The polarised political climate, constant verbal attacks and nationalist rhetoric have created a hostile environment for media freedom in Bosnia and Herzegovina.” After receiving candidate status in December 2022, Bosnia and Herzegovina was expected to address some reform areas as a matter of urgency. “One of these is to guarantee the freedom of expression and of the media and the protection of journalists, notably by ensuring the appropriate judicial follow-up to cases of threats and violence against journalists and media workers”.

The Board of Directors of the Press and Online Media Council in Bosnia and Herzegovina called for taking intimidation of journalists and threatening messages more seriously. They advised that the initiative to declare journalists as officials and an attack on a journalist processed as an attack on an official should be reconsidered on the institutional level. Otherwise, threat reports remain a dead letter.

In 2021 The BH Novinari journalists’ association recorded 70 cases of journalists’ rights being violated (69 in 2020 and 56 in 2019). It is clear that, every year, we have more and more registered cases.

In the same year 2021 the BIH Ombudsman received 9 complaints (11 in 2020, 18 in 2019).

The Press Council (Print and Online Media Council) in Bosnia-Herzegovina (Vijeće za štampu i online medije u Bosni i Hercegovini) received 619 complaints on online articles and online readers comments in 2021 (915 cases in 2020).

Self-censorship is becoming more prevalent between journalists as result of the growing number of strategic lawsuits against public participation (SLAPP).

The South East Europe Media Organisation (SEEMO) recorded 93 cases of attack, threats or pressures against journalists in
BIH in the year 2022. There was according to SEEMO a visible increasing of online cases against journalists.

Milorad Dodik, President of Republika Srpska in Bosnia and Herzegovina, at the press conference held on 8 March 2023 in Banja Luka commented inappropriately on the initiatives of journalists against the criminalisation of defamation and the draft changes to the Criminal Code of the Republika Srpska. It is not the first time that Dodik, as also other politicians in Bosnia and Herzegovina voiced negative views about journalists, media NGOs and media in the county. Dodik announced rigorous measures against the NGO sector and associations financed by donor funds.

In Bosnia and Herzegovina, foreign donations are securing financing independent from local sources that are often connected to political groups or political orientated businesspersons or directly to some state officials.

On 9 March 2023 the cars of journalist Aleksandar Trifunović from Buka in Banja Luka and Nikola Morača from the newspaper EuroBlic and web portal SrpskaInfo in Banja Luka, were scratched by unknown vandals. Only some days earlier on 24 February 2023 Morača was questioned by the police and his mobile phone was confiscated after he refused to name the source of his information. Morača was questioned at the local police station connected to his article about the rape of an eighteen-year-old girl, for which one person is suspected. He was asked to reveal who gave him information about the person suspected of rape.

The best example of the severe position of journalists in BIH is revealed in result published 2021 in “INTEGRITY OF JOURNALISM AND TRANSPARENCY OF MEDIA IN BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA” (INTEGRITET NOVINARSTVA I TRANSPARENTNOST MEDIJA U BOSNI I HERCEGOVINI) 66.7% of the journalists answered “yes” when asked if they
would leave journalism as a profession if they had the opportunity.

Lack of protection of journalists within the newsrooms

The research “Internal capacities and needs of the media in the field of protection and safety of journalists in Bosnia and Herzegovina,” conducted by Bosnia-Herzegovina Journalists’ Association (BHN) in late 2022 and early 2023 showed that most of the media in Bosnia and Herzegovina do not have any regulations protocols related to the protection of journalists within the newsrooms and handling cases of attacks on journalists and other employees. Many journalists who have experienced attacks, pressure or threats have no support from their editors.

In line with these finding, we call for the adoption of internal standards which will provide the necessary protection of journalists and media workers. Besides the previously discussed improvements in external institutional protection, adequate solution must be found internally, as well.